

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/849,251	Applicant(s) FARIES ET AL.
	Examiner Mark W. Bockelman	Art Unit 3766

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Mark W. Bockelman. (3) _____.

(2) Stuart Shapiro. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

27, 34

Prior art documents discussed:

6,334,707, 1,995,302, 4,338,174, DE 3709122, FR 2,711,393

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark W. Bockelman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed language that in the examiner's opinion would place claim 27 in to condition for allowance. Additionally, the examiner requested the cancellation of claims 34,37 and 38. While not necessarily agreeing with the examiner's position, applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendment so as to place the case in condition for allowance at this time..